

Appl. No. 10/602269
Supplemental Amendment After Final

REMARKS

In the aforementioned Advisory Action, the Examiner advised applicant that the amendment after final filed August 18, 2005 was not entered due to the fact it raised an issue of new matter. The examiner correctly noted the application referred to the lens of the product as being an "elongated translucent polymer," a "thermoplastic polymer," or a "reflective translucent plastic." The claim, however, referenced the lens material as being a "thermoplastic translucent polymer." The inclusion of the term "thermoplastic" was inadvertent and has been removed per this amendment so that the lens material is now referenced as a "translucent polymer" consistent with the specification.

Having removed the new matter issue, it would be appreciated if the examiner would consider the August 18 amendment as it is felt for the reasons set forth therein that the claims in the application are now patentably distinct from the prior art.

Should the examiner have any questions regarding the present amendment to the application, it would be appreciated if she would call attorney for applicant at the below-listed number.

Dated this 8th September 2005.

Respectfully submitted,



Gary M. Polumbus, Reg. No. 25,364
USPTO Customer No. 20686
Tel: (303) 628-1500
Fax: (303) 629-3450
e-mail: polumbus.gary@dorsey.com

GMP/dtc